Flipped Learning Remains Under-Theorised

Li, R., Lund, A., & Nordsteien, A. (2021) 'The Link Between Flipped and Active Learning: a Scoping Review'. Teaching in Higher Education. DOI: 10.1080/13562517.2021.1943655

Definitions

Flipped Learning (FL) lacks a universal definition, being variously referred to as an approach, a method/methodology, a technique or a model in the 435 articles that formed the scoping review.

Purpose of the review

As FL has been widely adopted in HE the authors aim to discover which theoretical frameworks are described in the literature.

What evidence is summarised?

Despite being widely adopted systematic reviews of FL have been criticised for lacking a theoretical framework. Many conceptual & analytical approaches exist but are only rarely argued or elaborated.

- Of the 435 full-text articles retrieved approximately 65% do not explicitly connect their research to theory or to a conceptual framework.
- In the remaining 155 studies the theoretical & conceptual underpinnings are only vaguely described. The authors are left with an opaque picture regarding the benefits of FL.

Why does it matter?

FL has emerged from classroom practice as a cluster of techniques that worked well, rather than a conceptualisation resulting from educational research. This means it runs the risk of being reduced to a set of classroom techniques instead of a generative concept for educational development. We cannot make a claim to professionalism if we can't articulate our evidence base.

Conclusion

There has been rapid growth in the number of articles about FL since 2011 but they mostly fail to elaborate theoretical perspectives. The further development of FL as a methodology would benefit from the application of more principled views on learning and teaching.